

CLAIM AMENDMENTS

Please amend claims 1, 3, 5, 7-8, 11-38, 40 and 60-61 to read as follows. Please cancel claims 2, 4, 6, 39, 41 and 43. All other claims are unamended.

1. (currently amended) A method for automating the identification of meaningful features and the formulation of expert rules for classifying magnetocardiography data, comprising ~~the step of:~~

applying a ~~kernel-wavelet~~ transform to sensed data acquired from sensors sensing ~~electromagnetic~~ fields generated by a patient's heart activity, resulting in ~~transformed-wavelet domain~~ data;

applying a kernel transform to said wavelet domain data, resulting in transformed data; and, prior to identifying said meaningful features and formulating said expert rules from classifying ~~said transformed data,~~ using machine learning.

2. (cancelled)

3. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, ~~for classifying magnetocardiography data, further comprising the step of:~~

acquiring said sensed data from magnetic sensors proximate a patient's heart.

4. (cancelled)

5. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 | classifying said transformed data using machine learning.

1 | 6. (cancelled)

1 | 7. (currently amended) The method of claim 3, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 | classifying said transformed data using machine learning.

1 | 8. (currently amended) The method of claim 4, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 | classifying said transformed data using machine learning.

1 | 9. (original) The method of claim 1, said kernel transform

2 | satisfying Mercer conditions.

1 | 10. (original) The method of claim 1, said kernel transform

2 | comprising a radial basis function.

1 | 11. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, ~~said step of~~

2 | applying a kernel transform ~~comprising the steps of:~~

3 | assigning said transformed data to a first hidden layer of a
4 | neural network;

5 | applying training data descriptors as weights of said first
6 | hidden layer of said neural network; and

7 | calculating weights of a second hidden layer of said neural
8 | network numerically.

1 | 12. (currently amended) The method of claim 11, ~~said step of~~

2 | calculating said weights of said second hidden layer numerically

3 | ~~further comprising the step of:~~

4 | calculating said weights of said second hidden layer using

5 kernel ridge regression.

1 13. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, ~~said step of~~
2 ~~applying a kernel transform comprising the step of:~~

3 applying a direct kernel transform.

1 14. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 classifying said transformed data using a self-organizing map
4 (SOM).

1 15. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 classifying said transformed data using a direct kernel self-
4 organizing map (DK-SOM).

1 16. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 classifying said transformed data using kernel partial least
4 square (K-PLS) machine learning.

1 17. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 classifying said transformed data using direct kernel partial
4 least square (DK-PLS) machine learning.

1 18. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 classifying said transformed data using a least-squares
4 support vector machine (LS-SVM).

1 19. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

comprising ~~the step of~~:

classifying said transformed data using a direct kernel principal component analysis (DK-PCA).

20. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

comprising ~~the step of~~:

classifying said transformed data using a support vector machine (SVM / SVMLib).

21. (currently amended) The method of claim 20, ~~said step of~~

classifying said transformed data using a support vector machine (SVM / SVMLib) further comprising ~~the step of~~:

setting an SVMLib regularization parameter, C , to $C=1/\lambda$, for an n data kernel, wherein:

said λ is proportional to said n to a power of $3/2$

22. (currently amended) The method of claim 20, ~~said step of~~

classifying said transformed data using a support vector machine (SVM / SVMLib) further comprising ~~the step of~~:

setting an SVMLib regularization parameter, C , to $C=1/\lambda$, for an n data kernel, wherein:

$$\lambda = \min \left\{ 1; \left(\frac{n}{1500} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\}.$$

23. (currently amended) The method of claim 12, ~~said step of~~

~~converting transforming~~ said sensed data into a said wavelet domain data comprising ~~the step of~~:

applying a Daubechies wavelet transform to said sensed data.

1 24. (currently amended) The method of claim 12, further
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 selecting features from said wavelet domain data which
4 improve said classification of magnetocardiography data.

1 25. (currently amended) The method of claim 24, ~~said step of~~
2 ~~selecting said features further comprising the step of:~~

3 eliminating selected undesirable features from said wavelet
4 data.

1 26. (currently amended) The method of claim 25, ~~said step of~~
2 ~~eliminating selected undesirable features comprising the step of:~~

3 eliminating outlying data from said wavelet data.

1 27. (currently amended) The method of claim 25, ~~said step of~~
2 ~~eliminating selected undesirable features comprising the step of:~~

3 eliminating cousin descriptors from said wavelet data.

1 28. (currently amended) The method of claim 24, ~~said step of~~
2 ~~selecting said features further comprising the step of:~~

3 retaining only selected desirable features from said wavelet
4 data.

1 29. (currently amended) The method of claim 28, ~~said step of~~
2 ~~retaining only selected desirable features further comprising the~~
3 ~~steps of:~~

4 using a training data set; and

5 using a validation data set for confirming an absence of
6 over-training of said training set.

1 30. (currently amended) The method of claim 29, ~~said step of~~

retaining only selected desirable features further comprising the
steps of:

using a genetic algorithm to obtain an optimal subset of
features from said training data set; and
using said genetic algorithm for evaluating performance on
said validation data set.

31. (currently amended) The method of claim 29, said ~~step of~~
retaining only selected desirable features further comprising the
~~steps of:~~

measuring sensitivities of said features from said wavelet
data in relation to a predicted responses of said features; and
eliminating lower-sensitivity features from among said
features with comparatively lower sensitivity than other, higher-
sensitivity features from among said features.

32. (currently amended) The method of claim 24, said ~~step of~~
selecting said features further comprising the ~~steps of:~~
eliminating selected undesirable features from said wavelet
data; and

retaining only selected desirable features from said wavelet
data.

33. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further
comprising the ~~step of:~~
normalizing said sensed data.

34. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, said ~~step of~~
normalizing said sensed data comprising the ~~step of:~~

3 Mahalanobis scaling said sensed data.

1 35. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 centering a kernel of said kernel transform.

1 36. (currently amended) The method of claim 35, ~~said step of~~
2 ~~centering said kernel comprising the steps of:~~

3 subtracting a column average from each column of a training
4 data kernel;

5 storing said column average for later recall, when centering
6 a test data kernel.

7 subtracting a row average from each row of said training data
8 kernel.

1 37. (currently amended) The method of claim 36, ~~said step of~~
2 ~~centering said kernel further comprising the steps of:~~

3 adding said stored column average to each column of said test
4 data kernel;

5 for each row, calculating an average of said test data
6 kernel; and

7 subtracting said row average from each horizontal entry of
8 said test data kernel.

1 38. (currently amended) An apparatus for automating the
2 identification of meaningful features and the formulation of
3 expert rules for classifying magnetocardiography data, comprising
4 computerized storage, processing and programming for:

5 applying a kernel-wavelet transform to sensed data acquired

6 from sensors sensing ~~electromagnetic~~ fields generated by a
7 patient's heart activity, resulting in transformed-wavelet domain
8 data;
9 applying a kernel transform to said wavelet domain data,
10 resulting in transformed data; and, prior to
11 identifying said meaningful features and formulating said
12 expert rules from classifying ~~said transformed data,~~ using machine
13 learning.

1 39. (cancelled)

1 40. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 38, ~~for~~
2 ~~classifying magneto-cardiography data,~~ further comprising an input
3 for:

4 acquiring said sensed data from magnetic sensors proximate a
5 patient's heart.

1 41. (cancelled)

1 42. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:

3 classifying said transformed data using machine learning.

1 43. (cancelled)

1 44. (original) The apparatus of claim 40, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:

3 classifying said transformed data using machine learning.

1 45. (original) The apparatus of claim 41, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:

3 classifying said transformed data using machine learning.

1 46. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, wherein kernel
2 transform satisfies Mercer conditions.

1 47. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, said kernel transform
2 comprising a radial basis function.

1 48. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for applying a kernel
3 transform further comprising computerized storage, processing and
4 programming for:

5 assigning said transformed data to a first hidden layer of a
6 neural network;

7 applying training data descriptors as weights of said first
8 hidden layer of said neural network; and

9 calculating weights of a second hidden layer of said neural
10 network numerically.

1 49. (original) The apparatus of claim 48, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for calculating said weights
3 of said second hidden layer numerically further comprising
4 computerized storage, processing and programming for:

5 calculating said weights of said second hidden layer using
6 kernel ridge regression.

1 50. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for applying a kernel
3 transform further comprising computerized storage, processing and
4 programming for:

5 applying a direct kernel transform.

1 51. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:
3 classifying said transformed data using a self-organizing map
4 (SOM).

1 52. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:
3 classifying said transformed data using a direct kernel self-
4 organizing map (DK-SOM).

1 53. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:
3 classifying said transformed data using kernel partial least
4 square (K-PLS) machine learning.

1 54. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:
3 classifying said transformed data using direct kernel partial
4 least square (DK-PLS) machine learning.

1 55. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:
3 classifying said transformed data using a least-squares
4 support vector machine (LS-SVM).

1 56. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:
3 classifying said transformed data using a direct kernel
4 principal component analysis (DK-PCA).

1 57. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising

computerized storage, processing and programming for:

classifying said transformed data using a support vector machine (SVM / SVMLib).

58. (original) The apparatus of claim 57, said computerized storage, processing and programming for classifying said transformed data using a support vector machine (SVM / SVMLib) transform further comprising computerized storage, processing and programming for:

setting an SVMLib regularization parameter, C , to $C=1/\lambda$, for an n data kernel, wherein:

said λ is proportional to said n to a power of $3/2$

59. (original) The apparatus of claim 57, said computerized storage, processing and programming for classifying said transformed data using a support vector machine (SVM / SVMLib) transform further comprising computerized storage, processing and programming for:

setting an SVMLib regularization parameter, C , to $C=1/\lambda$, for an n data kernel, wherein:

$$\lambda = \min \left\{ 1; \left(\frac{n}{1500} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\}.$$

60. (original) The apparatus of claim 3839, said computerized storage, processing and programming for ~~converting-transforming~~ said sensed data into ~~a~~ said wavelet domain data comprising computerized storage, processing and programming for:

5 applying a Daubechies wavelet transform to said sensed data.

1 | 61. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 38~~39~~, further
2 | computerized storage, processing and programming for:

3 | selecting features from said wavelet domain data which
4 | improve said classification of magnetocardiography data.

1 | 62. (original) The apparatus of claim 61, said comprising
2 | computerized storage, processing and programming for selecting
3 | said features further comprising computerized storage, processing
4 | and programming for:

5 | eliminating selected undesirable features from said wavelet
6 | data.

1 | 63. (original) The apparatus of claim 62, said comprising
2 | computerized storage, processing and programming for eliminating
3 | selected undesirable features comprising computerized storage,
4 | processing and programming for:

5 | eliminating outlying data from said wavelet data.

1 | 64. (original) The apparatus of claim 62, said computerized
2 | storage, processing and programming for eliminating selected
3 | undesirable features comprising computerized storage, processing
4 | and programming for:

5 | eliminating cousin descriptors from said wavelet data.

1 | 65. (original) The apparatus of claim 61, said computerized
2 | storage, processing and programming for selecting said features
3 | further comprising computerized storage, processing and
4 | programming for:

5 retaining only selected desirable features from said wavelet
6 data.

1 66. (original) The apparatus of claim 65, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for retaining only selected
3 desirable features further comprising computerized storage,
4 processing and programming for:

5 using a training data set; and

6 using a validation data set for confirming an absence of
7 over-training of said training set.

1 67. (original) The apparatus of claim 66, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for retaining only selected
3 desirable features further comprising computerized storage,
4 processing and programming for:

5 using a genetic algorithm to obtain an optimal subset of
6 features from said training data set; and

7 using said genetic algorithm for evaluating performance on
8 said validation data set.

1 68. (original) The apparatus of claim 66, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for retaining only selected
3 desirable features further comprising computerized storage,
4 processing and programming for:

5 measuring sensitivities of said features from said wavelet
6 data in relation to a predicted responses of said features; and

7 eliminating lower-sensitivity features from among said
8 features with comparatively lower sensitivity than other, higher-

9 sensitivity features from among said features.

1 69. (original) The apparatus of claim 61, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for selecting said features
3 further comprising computerized storage, processing and
4 programming for:

5 eliminating selected undesirable features from said wavelet
6 data; and

7 retaining only selected desirable features from said wavelet
8 data.

1 70. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:

3 normalizing said sensed data.

1 71. (original) The apparatus of claim 70, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for normalizing said sensed
3 data comprising computerized storage, processing and programming
4 for:

5 Mahalanobis scaling said sensed data.

1 72. (original) The apparatus of claim 38, further comprising
2 computerized storage, processing and programming for:

3 centering a kernel of said kernel transform.

1 73. (original) The apparatus of claim 72, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for centering said kernel
3 comprising computerized storage, processing and programming for:

4 subtracting a column average from each column of a training
5 data kernel;

6 storing said column average for later recall, when centering
7 a test data kernel.

8 subtracting a row average form each row of said training data
9 kernel.

1 74. (original) The apparatus of claim 73, said computerized
2 storage, processing and programming for centering said kernel
3 further comprising computerized storage, processing and
4 programming for:

5 adding said stored column average to each column of said
6 test data kernel;

7 for each row, calculating an average of said test data
8 kernel; and

9 subtracting said row average from each horizontal entry of
10 said test data kernel.